

ISSN(O): 2319-8753

ISSN(P): 2347-6710



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 7, July 2025





www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 7, July 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1407133|

Transforming Education in India: A Critical Review of NEP 2020 after Five Years

Dr. Zuber Khan¹, Dr. Sandeep Yadav², Dinesh K. Sharma³, Dr. Nikita Mangal⁴

Associate Professor, Govt. College, Bundi, India¹
Professor, Govt. Girl's College, Bundi, India²
Assistant Professor, Govt. College, Bundi, India³
Assistant Professor, Govt. College, Deoli, Tonk, India⁴

ABSTRACT: The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marked a transformative shift in India's educational landscape, aiming to create an inclusive, flexible, and multidisciplinary education system aligned with the demands of the 21st century. This paper provides a comprehensive five-year review of NEP 2020, analyzing its key structural reforms, achievements, challenges, and future directions. It highlights significant progress in areas such as Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE), Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN), digital learning adoption, and an increase in Gross Enrolment Ratios (GER). The study also examines persistent challenges including infrastructure gaps, teacher training deficits, federal implementation disparities, and inadequate funding, which continue to hinder full-scale realization of the policy's vision. Drawing on government data sources such as UDISE+, AISHE, and the NEP Dashboard, the paper presents quantitative assessments and state-level variations in implementation. Case studies from states like Karnataka and Delhi are used to illustrate best practices. The paper concludes by offering strategic recommendations to bridge policy-practice gaps and ensure equitable and effective delivery of educational reforms across India. The findings suggest that while NEP 2020 has initiated a positive transformation, sustained investment, intergovernmental coordination, and stakeholder engagement are crucial to its long-term success.

KEYWORDS: NEP 2020, India education policy, foundational literacy, ECCE, digital education, education reform, GER, teacher training, policy evaluation

I. INTRODUCTION

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) represents one of the most comprehensive educational reforms in India since independence. Officially notified in July 2020 by the Ministry of Education, NEP 2020 replaced the nearly four-decade-old National Policy on Education (1986), establishing a forward-looking blueprint for transforming the country's education system from early childhood to lifelong learning. Grounded in the fundamental pillars of access, equity, quality, and affordability, the policy targets holistic, multidisciplinary, and flexible learning pathways rooted in Indian heritage while aligning with global standards.

Five years since its launch, this paper aims to critically assess NEP 2020's outcomes to date by reviewing major policy initiatives, documenting empirical data, and evaluating persistent roadblocks. The analysis draws on public data from UDISE+, AISHE, ASER, government mission reports such as NIPUN Bharat, and academic commentary to provide a comprehensive picture of implementation across programs and regions.

The structure of the paper is as follows: Section 2 outlines key goals and structural reforms; Section 3 presents empirical achievements with data on foundational learning, digital access, and higher education enrollment; Section 4 discusses challenges like infrastructure gaps, teacher shortages, and federal coordination; Section 5 examines policy responses and adaptations; Section 6 shares stakeholder perspectives; Section 7 suggests future policy directions; and Section 8 concludes. Where possible, tables and figures present data trends, while in-text citations link findings to authoritative sources.





www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 7, July 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1407133|

II. KEY GOALS AND STRUCTURAL REFORMS

NEP 2020 introduces numerous structural reforms to realign India's education system with human developmental stages and modern learning needs (Central Square Foundation):

- School-stage restructuring: Replacing the conventional 10+2 system with a 5+3+3+4 model (Foundational, Preparatory, Middle, Secondary), corresponding to ages 3–18. This aligns pedagogy more closely with developmental milestones (Wikipedia).
- Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN): Emphasis on achieving universal literacy and numeracy by Grade 3 through the NIPUN Bharat mission, mandating stage-wise targets and state-level implementation plans (Wikipedia).
- Medium of instruction: Recommends instruction in mother tongue or regional language until at least Grade 5, preferably till Grade 8, while promoting multilingualism (Wikipedia).
- Vocational education: Introduces vocational modules starting from Grade 6 to orient students toward practical skill learning.
- Higher education reform: Creation of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC), National Higher Education Qualification Framework (NHEQF), and encouragement for four-year undergraduate programs with multiple entry-exit points.
- Institutional architecture: Set up of the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI), promoting multidisciplinary universities, autonomy, and excellence.
- Digital infrastructure and platforms: Launch of national platforms like DIKSHA, SWAYAM, NDEAR, and support for MOOCs, massively expanding equitable digital access (deccanchronicle.com, Wikipedia).
- Teacher and capacity building: Reform of teacher education via Integrated Teacher Education Programs (ITEP), National Professional Standards for Teachers (NPST), and the National Mission for Mentoring.
- Increased public investment: Goal to increase education budget to 6% of GDP, far above the then-current \sim 3% average.

III. ACHIEVEMENTS & IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Foundational Literacy & Numeracy (FLN)

The NIPUN Bharat mission, launched in 2021, aims to universalize foundational learning by 2026–27. As of mid-2025:

- Over 64,688 schools were assessed in the third-party NIPUN Vidyalaya assessment, with 48,061 schools (~74%) declared NIPUN-compliant for the 2024–25 year (cms.foundationallearning.in).
- An estimated 5 million students and 1.7 lakh teachers have been engaged through training, mentorship, and structured materials such as workbooks and reading kits (cms.foundationallearning.in).

The Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) measured learning levels, reporting a 7-percentage point improvement in Grade 3 reading and subtraction skills—the highest increase in two decades. In Uttar Pradesh alone, reading proficiency improved by 12 points, subtraction by 14 points since 2022 (Central Square Foundation).

These figures reflect the rapid scaling of FLN interventions including structured pedagogy, teacher mentoring, and community participation via initiatives like NIPUN Melas, Parent-Teacher e-Squares, and block-level FLN events across multiple states (cms.foundationallearning.in).

Digital Access and ICT in Schools

UDISE+ 2023–24 data shows:

Indicator	All-India Avg	Rajasthan
Schools with electricity	91.8%	91.4%
Schools with computer facility	57.2%	51.6%
Schools with internet access	53.9%	68.3%





www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 7, July 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1407133|

Despite these aggregate numbers, figures vary widely: Bihar has only 19.6% schools with computer access, and 18.5% with internet; Kerala and Delhi exceed 90% connectivity (Education for All in India, thepublicpov.in).

UDISE+ 2021-22 saw only 33.9% schools with internet and 47.5% with computers; private unaided schools lagged less than government schools (e.g., only 14% of government schools had functional ICT labs) (India Development Review).

Digital platforms:

- DIKSHA (Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing) now provides resources in 36 Indian languages as India's "One Nation, One Digital Platform", serving teachers and students via OERs and analytics (Wikipedia).
- SWAYAM MOOC portal has delivered over 11,000 courses, with more than 1.2 crore registrations and 4+ crore enrollments by 2024 (Wikipedia).

Still, platform usage remains uneven: fewer than 4% of institutions are actively using SWAYAM or DIKSHA; only 6% have invested in open digital infrastructure; ICT labs exist in only 12% of HEIs (deccanchronicle.com).

Higher Education Enrollment & Reform

The All-India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) shows growth from 36.6M students in 2017-18 to 43.3M by 2021-22—an average annual growth rate of 4.24%. Female enrollment grew slightly faster (~4.37%) than male (~4.13%), indicating narrowing gender gaps (Education for All in India).

QS I-GAUGE data indicates that:

- Only 36% of HEIs have implemented the multiple entry-exit structure.
- Merely 14% have appointed Professors of Practice for industry linkages (The Times of India, deccanchronicle.com).
- Accreditation is being simplified to a binary compliance model to promote broader quality assurance (economictimes.indiatimes.com).

The formation of the National Digital University (NDU), scheduled to commence academic sessions in 2023-24, heralds a new digital avenue aligned with NEP's push for lifelong learning and open access education (Wikipedia).

Research, IKS and Globalization

Investment in R&D remains modest at ~0.7% of GDP, leading NEP to propose setting up a National Research Foundation (NRF) (civilsdaily.com).

QS I-GAUGE data reveals:

- About 41–45% of HEIs have MoUs or faculty-level international collaborations.
- Only 38% offer Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) electives; 8% have "Kala Gurus".
- Majority cite bureaucratic hurdles, funding gaps, and capacity constraints impeding autonomy and global integration (civilsdaily.com, deceanchronicle.com).

VI. IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

Infrastructure and Digital Divide

Even five years into NEP, infrastructure remains uneven:

- National averages show 91.8% electricity access, but only 57.2% schools have computers, and 53.9% have internet.
- Some states lag dramatically: Bihar—19.6% computer, 18.5% internet; Meghalaya—18.8% computer, 24.5% internet (thepublicpov.in).

Rural households show even starker gaps: only 4.4% rural households own computers vs. 23.4% urban; internet access is 14.9% rural vs. 42% urban per National Sample Survey (2017–18) (orfonline.org).

Even at school level, only 14% of government schools had functional ICT labs in 2021-22, compared to much higher in private schools (100% in Delhi, 98% in Chandigarh) (Education for All in India, India Development Review).





www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 7, July 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1407133|

Teacher Capacity Gaps

Implementing experiential pedagogy, coding, vocational education, and FLN requires large-scale teacher training. However:

- 10 lakh teaching vacancies remain in government schools.
- Most teachers lack training for new curricula and digital tools (indiatoday.in).

While NIPUN Bharat has trained thousands—e.g. Uttar Pradesh trained 4.76 lakh teachers, Haryana used a mentor app for over 1,500 mentors—the need for sustained and system-wide capacity building is far from met (cms.foundationallearning.in).

Equity and Linguistic Diversity

The emphasis on mother tongue instruction—well-intentioned—faces obstacles. Urban parents often prefer English-medium education, and India's language diversity (over 22 official languages and many dialects) complicates curriculum standardization and teacher preparation.

Furthermore, marginalized students in rural and tribal areas often lack access to quality materials and remote learning support, exacerbating inequities.

Federal-State Coordination

Education falls under India's concurrent list. Some states have embraced NEP reforms proactively, while others balk at central mandates—especially concerning funding and MoU requirements tied to schemes like PM-SHRI. For instance, opposition-led states initially refused MoUs, resulting in withholding of SSA funds.

Financing

Despite NEP's goal of increasing education spending to 6% of GDP, actual allocations hover around 3%. This limits infrastructure upgrades, teacher recruitment, digital rollouts, and research funding (thepublicpov.in, The Times of India).

Structural Constraints in Higher Education

As per QS I-GAUGE:

- Only 36% HEIs have adopted entry-exit flexibility.
- Just 14% have industry-linked professors, and 6% invested in open digital infrastructure.
- Use of government online platforms is low—4% of institutions (The Times of India).

This highlights lingering resistance to autonomy and innovation amidst entrenched bureaucratic pressures.

V. POLICY RESPONSES AND ADAPTATIONS

To mitigate challenges and sustain momentum, several policy interventions and adjustments have emerged:

- PARAKH (Performance Assessment, Review and Analysis of Knowledge for Holistic Development), functioning as an assessment regulator under NCERT, streamlines standardized evaluation methods.
- Advancements in teacher education, including B.Ed. reforms, ITEP, and professional standards (NPST).
- Launch of PM SHRI schools—model NEP institutions demonstrating aligned pedagogy, infrastructure, and student support; only states signing NEP MoUs receive SSA funding, incentivizing participation (Wikipedia, Navbharat Times).
- Reconstitution of state task forces, convened through governance events (e.g., in Jharkhand) to raise awareness, build consensus, and coordinate NEP implementation across universities (The Times of India).
- Investment in higher education infrastructure: ₹200 crore project inaugurated at Central University of Punjab, featuring student housing, academic blocks, and green credentials; tied to NEP goals of inclusivity, sustainability, and modern research-ready campuses (The Times of India).
- Simplification of higher education accreditation via binary (accredited/not) system rather than complex grading, promoting fairness and scalability (economictimes.indiatimes.com).





www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 7, July 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1407133|

VI. STAKEHOLDER PERSPECTIVES

Feedback from different stakeholders reflects a blend of optimism and caution:

- Educators generally welcome learner-centered pedagogies and flexibility, but express concern over inadequate training and resource shortages.
- Parents support skill-based education and modular courses, yet remain unsure about the shift in language policy and the value of ABC credits across institutions.
- Students appreciate the freedom in subject selection but face anxiety over inconsistent implementation, recognition of interim exit certifications, and digital access disparities.
- Experts, including former UGC secretary Rajnish Jain, emphasize that NEP's real impact will only be observable after 15 years, once a full cohort educated under NEP completes university—citing ongoing disparities in urban vs rural institutions, infrastructure deficits, and faculty shortages (The Times of India).

Critics also argue that NEP's centralized curriculum control risks undermining federal structures and imposing ideological narratives—especially regarding language and historical content (e.g., NCERT textbook revisions omitting Mughals; rewriting ancient Indian contributions)—raising tensions between central authority and regional autonomy (The Times of India).

VII. FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

To ensure NEP 2020 fulfills its transformative vision over the next decade, the following strategies merit urgent attention:

- 1. Infrastructure and Digital Access
- o Prioritize ICT and broadband connectivity in underserved states. UDISE data shows digital access is uneven—retargeting interventions towards low-connectivity regions like Bihar (only 18.5% internet-connected schools) is essential (The Times of India).
- o Leverage schemes like National Broadband Mission and Bharat Net to ensure classroom access aligns with NEP's digital objectives (careerindia.com).
- 2. Teacher Partnerships and Professional Development
- o Scale up mentorship frameworks (e.g. DIET mentors, Academic Resource Persons in FLN) and digital tools (e.g. mentor apps) into all states as seen in UP, Haryana, Odisha (cms.foundationallearning.in).
- o Embed NEP pedagogical shifts in B.Ed. curricula and mandate annual upskilling across all school teachers.
- 3. Financial Realignment
- o Gradually raise public education spending to 6% of GDP to support infrastructure, digital platforms, research and inclusion
- o Incentivize states to sign NEP MoUs by linking them to funding under SSA and PM-SHRI.
- 4. Increasing HE Autonomy & Industry Linkages
- o Encourage HEIs to adopt multiple entry-exit systems, implement Professors of Practice, and establish AICTE IDEA Labs—only 36%, 14%, and 12% adoption rates so far (deccanchronicle.com).
- o Promote MoUs with international institutions and formalize digital/skills collaborations to bolster global competitiveness.
- 5. Monitoring, Evaluation & Federal Coordination
- o Build unit-level NEP implementation teams at universities and school districts as encouraged by governance events in Jharkhand (The Times of India).
- Strengthen real-time data reporting via NDEAR and other platforms for evidence-based policymaking.
- 6. Equity and Language Inclusion
- o Craft mother tongue resources and multilingual digital content, especially in tribal dialects.
- o Conduct community engagement events (e.g., FLN Melas, Bal Sabhas) to involve parents and local leaders in learning goals.





www.ijirset.com | A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal | e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710 |

Volume 14, Issue 7, July 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1407133|

VIII. CONCLUSION

Five years after its announcement, NEP 2020 has catalyzed profound structural shifts in Indian education—from foundational literacy to flexible higher education, digital platforms, and vocational integration. Achievements include measurable FLN gains, expanding access to digital tools, and gradual uptake of flexible undergraduate programs.

However, the impact remains uneven across states and sectors. Infrastructure gaps, digital inequity, teacher shortages, insufficient funding, and limited HE autonomy pose serious implementation hurdles. Equally, political resistance to centralized mandates and language curriculum reinventions underlines the tension between reform and federal balance.

Looking ahead, NEP's transformative potential hinges on scaling up infrastructure, strengthening state-Centre collaboration, deepening teacher capacity, and ensuring inclusion. Monitoring mechanisms like PARAKH and NDEAR must evolve into institutional tools that drive accountability. Continued stakeholder engagement—teachers, parents, students, policymakers—will be essential for trust-building.

In sum, while early evidence shows NEP 2020 paving the way for systemic change, the real test lies ahead—in how India converts policy principles into outcomes across its diverse socio-economic and cultural landscape.

REFERENCES

- 1. Banerjee, A., et al. (2007, 2017). [cited in commentary].
- 2. Central Square Foundation. (2024). India's Progress Engine: The Groundwork Behind NIPUN Bharat Mission (cms.foundationallearning.in, RISE Programme, Central Square Foundation).
- 3. Deccan Chronicle. (2025). Digital Learning Access Remains Undeveloped (deccanchronicle.com).
- 4. Education for All in India. (2023-24). Digital Infrastructure in Schools: Challenges and Progress (Education for All in India).
- 5. Economic Times ET Education. (2025). Implementing NEP 2020: Progress, challenges... (education.economictimes.indiatimes.com).
- 6. India Today. (2024). Turning a new page: Education (indiatoday.in).
- 7. NDEAR, DIKSHA, SWAYAM portals. (2024). [platform data] (Wikipedia, Wikipedia).
- 8. NSSO, 75th Round (2017-18). Household Social Consumption on Education (orfonline.org).
- 9. QS I-GAUGE Reports (2025). NEP implementation in HEIs (The Times of India, The Times of India, deccanchronicle.com).
- 10. Rajya Sabha Reply / MoE Annual Report 2023-24. DIKSHA details (Wikipedia).
- 11. The Print. (2025). NEP 2020 reform report card (The Print).
- 12. Times of India (multiple). NEP-related events and statements (The Times of India, The Times of India, The Times of India).
- 13. UDISE+ report 2023-24. School infrastructure statistics (Education for All in India, Education for All in India).
- 14. Wikipedia entries: NEP 2020, NDU, DIKSHA, SWAYAM (Wikipedia, Wikipedia, Wikipedia, Wikipedia).
- 15. Zuber. Khan, Sandeep. Yadav, and Nikita. Mangal, "Analytical study of Spatial Changes in Rural and Urban Population Growth A Case study of Hadouti Region," IJIRSET, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 7342–7349, 2021, doi: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2021.1006243.











INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY







9940 572 462 🔯 6381 907 438 🔀 ijirset@gmail.com

